# United States District Court

### WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

### **UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**

V

## ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

DEFONTA HOWARD			Case Number: 1:14-MJ-258	
requir	In a	ccordance with the Bail Reform Act, of detention of the defendant pending	18 U.S.C.§3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts trial in this case.	
			Part I - Findings of Fact	
	(1)	The defendant is charged with a offense) (state or local offense that existed) that is	n offense described in 18 U.S.C. §3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a (federal would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had	
		a crime of violence as define	ed in 18 U.S.C.§3156(a)(4).	
		an offense for which the max	ximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.	
		an offense for which the ma	aximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in	
		a felony that was committed a U.S.C.§3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or	after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 comparable state or local offenses.	
	(2)		was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local	
	(3)	offense.  A period of not more than five years the offense described in finding (1).	has elapsed since the (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for	
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establi assure the safety of (an)other pe	ish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably erson(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this	
_		presumption.  Alternate Findings (A)		
	(1)	There is probable cause to believe	e that the defendant has committed an offense	
		for which a maximum term of under 18 U.S.C.§924(c).	of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in	
	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.		
			Alternate Findings (B)	
X	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.		
	(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.		
		Defendant is charged along with five	ve other individuals with conspiring to rob a federally insured credit union.	
		Defendant has no ties to Western N	Michigan. He is 21.	
		Defendant is unemployed with no o	obvious means of support.	
		Part II - Wri	tten Statement of Reasons for Detention	
that t	the c	credible testimony and information	n submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence that	
olunta	rily a	associated himself with a conspira	Il assure the safety of the community from this young defendant who has acy whose members have been successful in robbing 6 credit unions to-date, which he apparently learned little, and his willingness (continued on attachment)	
		Part I	II - Directions Regarding Detention	
The cility sefendate on recent the contract of the circumstance of	defe epar nt sh ques narsh	endant is committed to the custody of rate, to the extent practicable, from all be afforded a reasonable opportu t of an attorney for the Government	of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a correction persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The unity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United te in connection with a court proceeding.	
Dated:	D	ecember 17, 2014	/s/ Hugh W. Brenneman, Jr.	
		,	Signature of Judicial Officer	
			Hugh W. Brenneman, United States Magistrate Judge	
			Name and Title of Judicial Officer	

Case 1:14-mi-00258-HWB FCF No. 30 filed 12/19/14 PageID.51 Page 2 of 2

United States v. **DEFONTA HOWARD** 1:14-MJ-258

### ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Page 2.

### **Alternate Findings (B)** - (continued)

Defendant has previously had the benefit of the Holmes Youth Trainee Act, but nevertheless voluntarily joined five other conspirators who were apprehended by a police task force which was trailing them on their way to rob a credit union in Lansing, MI. The conspirators had put on makeup and had other disguises to alter their appearance. Various members of the conspiracy have robbed up to five other credit unions and collected approximately \$100,000. The conspirators have a pattern of passing demand notes demanding somewhere between \$30,000 and \$60,000, with the threat that people will be injured or killed if the credit union does not surrender the money.

Defendant denies being a substance abuser.

Defendant has never been married, but has an eight-month old child.

### Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention - (continued)

to join in this gang-like behavior at such a young age.